

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,278	11/01/2001	Robert V. Farese JR.	407T-927110US	2111
22798 7	7590 09/12/2002			
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.		EXAMINER		
	P O BOX 458		BERTOGLIO, VALERIE E	
ALAMEDA, C	A 94501			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	1
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	P

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t .		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Commence	10/001,278	FARESE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Valerie E. Bertoglio	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	— is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	03 U.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	·			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 27-37, drawn to a knockout mammal comprising a disruption in an endogenous *Ttpa* gene, classified in class 800, subclass 14.
- II. Claims 12-26 and 38-42, drawn to a knockout mammal comprising disruptions in an endogenous *Ttpa* gene and an *apoE* gene, classified in class 800, subclass 14.
- III. Claims 12-16 and 38-42, drawn to a knockout mammal comprising disruptions in an endogenous *Ttpa* gene and an APP gene, classified in class 800, subclass 14.
- IV. Claims 43-53, drawn to a nucleic acid construct, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- V. Claims 53-56, drawn to a cell comprising a disruption in *Ttpa*, classified in class 435, subclass 325.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the double transgenic does not require the single transgenic-both constructs can be injected at the same time to make the double transgenic. The single transgenic has separate utility such as a model of vitamin E deficiency.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the double transgenic does not require the single transgenic-both constructs can be injected at the same time to make the double transgenic. The single transgenic has separate utility such as a model of vitamin E deficiency.

Inventions I and IV are patentably distinct because, the knockout mammal comprising a disruption in the *Ttpa* gene can be used as a model for vitamin E deficiency while the nucleic acid construct can be used as a DNA probe. The protocols and reagents required for the transgenic and the nucleic acid construct are materially distinct and separate. The burden required to search inventions I and IV together would be undue.

Inventions I and V are patentably distinct because, the transgenic can be used as an *in vivo* model for vitamin E deficiency while the cells can be used in *in vitro* assays for compounds that modulate αTTP pathway activity. The protocols and reagents required for the transgenic and the cells are materially distinct and separate. The burden required to search inventions I and V together would be undue.

Inventions II and III are patentably distinct because, the knockout mammal comprising a disruption in both the both *Ttpa* and *ApoE* genes can be used as a model for athersclerosis while the knockout mammal comprising a disruptions in both *Ttpa* and APP genes can be used to study interactions between *Ttpa* and APP. The *Ttpa/ApoE* double knockout does not require the *Ttpa/APP* double knockout and the *Ttpa/APP* double knockout does not require the *Ttpa/ApoE* double knockout. The burden required to search inventions II and III together would be undue.

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions II and IV are patentably distinct because, the knockout mammal comprising a disruption in both the both *Ttpa* and *ApoE* genes can be used as a model for athersclerosis while the nucleic acid construct can be used as a DNA probe. The protocols and reagents required for the transgenic and the nucleic acid construct are materially distinct and separate. The burden required to search inventions II and IV together would be undue.

Inventions II and V are patentably distinct because, the knockout mammal comprising a disruption in both the both Ttpa and ApoE genes can be used as a model for athersclerosis while the cells can be used in *in vitro* assays for compounds that modulate αTTP pathway activity. The protocols and reagents required for the transgenic and the cells are materially distinct and separate. The burden required to search inventions II and V together would be undue.

Inventions III and IV are patentably distinct because, the knockout mammal comprising a disruption in both the both *Ttpa* and APP genes can be used to study interactions between *Ttpa* and APP while the nucleic acid construct can be used as a DNA probe. The protocols and reagents required for the transgenic and the nucleic acid construct are materially distinct and separate. The burden required to search inventions III and IV together would be undue.

Inventions III and V are patentably distinct because, the knockout mammal comprising a disruption in both the both *Ttpa* and *ApoE* genes can be used to study interactions between *Ttpa* and *APP* while the cells can be used in *in vitro* assays for compounds that modulate αTTP pathway activity. The protocols and reagents required for the transgenic and the cells are materially distinct and separate. The burden required to search inventions III and V together would be undue.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,278

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions IV and V are patentably distinct because, the nucleic acid construct can be used as a DNA probe while the cells can be used in *in vitro* assays for compounds that modulate αTTP pathway activity. The nucleic acid construct does not require the cells and the cells do not necessarily require the nucleic acid construct. The burden required to search inventions IV and V together would be undue.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Valarie Bertoglio whose telephone number is 703-305-5469. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on 703-305-4051. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1632

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

alana Bertoglio

Patent Examiner

MICHAEL C. WILSON PATENT EXAMINER